

CITY TORRANCE

RECYMED

RECEIVED

FELLETIAL VARIANTICATIONS CUMINISSICA

OFFICE OF THE SECRETIETY

FCC MAIL ROOM

INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

May 1, 1993

Ms. Donna Searcy, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW, Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re: PR Docket 92-235

Dear Ms. Searcy:

Having recently reviewed the referenced docket, we are compelled to write to you in full support of the comments submitted to you by the Associated Public Safety Communications Officers (APCO), the California Public Safety Radio Association (CPRA), and the State of California.

As a municipality serving over 500,000 citizens with one of the largest public-safety agencies in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area, we are extremely concerned that the proposed docket would force the degradation of the quality of communication services we provide.

While items on the docket may appear to only effect select agencies, we believe that eventually all public safety agencies would be adversely effected. Additionally, we believe that the docket as proposed would eliminate many of the existing and future mutual aid programs many public safety agencies rely upon.

We are certain the proposed changes would guarantee a significant (if not impossible) financial burden to many already stressed municipal budgets. Currently in the acceptance phase of our own \$4.0 million systems upgrade, we would be faced with the crushing prospect of virtually complete systems replacement should the proposed docket be accepted.

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

May 1, 1993 Page Two

Therefore, we ask that comments submitted by APCO (Associated Public-Safety Communications Officers), CPRA (California Public-Safety Radio Association, Inc.), and the State of California be given serious consideration prior to finalizing the proposal.

Respectfully submitted,

David L. Kim

Communications Administrator

DLK:rs:349